

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-16 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 1, 10-12, 15 and 16 are amended. Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

The Office Action rejects claims 1-16 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) over Yamazaki. The rejection is respectfully traversed.

In particular, Yamazaki does not disclose or suggest a driving method for an electro-optical device that includes a setting step of supplying a first on signal to a switching transistor and a resetting step of supplying a second on signal to the switching transistor, a period of supplying the reset signal via a data line within a vertical scanning period being substantially constant, as recited in independent claims 1 and 10-12.

Moreover, Yamazaki does not disclose or suggest a driving method for an electro-optical device and associated device that includes a setting step of supplying a first on signal to a switching transistor and a resetting step of supplying a second on signal to a switching transistor, a plurality of the set-reset operation being performed within one frame period, as recited in independent claims 15 and 16.

Yamazaki teaches an electro-optical display device operating in an active matrix mode, including pixels each provided with a first element for selecting the pixel and a second element for supplying an electric current to the pixel (Abstract). Moreover, Yamazaki teaches supplying a first on signal to the switching transistor (Fig. 1B, item Vg at t0) and a resetting step of supplying a second on signal (Fig. 1B, item Vg at t2). However, Yamazaki does not teach that the period of supplying the reset signal is substantially constant, as recited in independent claims 1 and 10-12. Moreover, Yamazaki does not teach that a plurality of the set-reset operation is performed within one frame period, as recited in independent claims 15 and 16.

At least for the reasons discussed above, Yamazaki fails to disclose or suggest the features of independent claims 1, 10-12, 15 and 16. As such, independent claims 1, 10-12, 15 and 16, and their dependent claims, are patentable over Yamazaki. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) is respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of claims 1-16 are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Oliff  
Registration No. 27,075

Tarik M. Nabi  
Registration No. 55,478

JAO:TMN/tje

Date: December 27, 2004

**OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC**  
**P.O. Box 19928**  
**Alexandria, Virginia 22320**  
**Telephone: (703) 836-6400**

<p>DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461</p>
--